

#### REMARKS

Claim 40 was amended to correctly depend from claim 39.

In a phone call with Examiner Rae, it was discussed that a preliminary amendment had been filed with the application. Examiner Rae, upon authorization of his supervisor, revised the Restriction Requirement to recite three groups as follows:

- |           |  |
|-----------|--|
| Group I   | Claims 1-33 and 39 drawn to compounds                |
| Group II  | Claims 34, 36, and 37 drawn to methods of treatment  |
| Group III | Claim 38 and 40 drawn to pharmaceutical compositions |

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicants elect, with traverse, the invention of Group I, Claims 1-33 and 39, drawn to a compound of formula 1. It is requested that if the compounds are found patentable, then the pharmaceutical composition of claims 38 and 40 will be patentable as well

In response to the election of species requirement, Applicants elect the species of Example 181. Claims 1-5, 9, 13, 16, 17, 21, 22, 24, 29-33 read on the elected species.

Applicants reserve the right to file a divisional application directed to the non-elected inventions prior to the termination of proceedings in this application.

Applicants believe that no fee is due with the filing of this paper. In the event a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Date: 2/20/08

By:



Susan A. Wolfe  
Registration No. 33,568

1100 13<sup>th</sup> Street  
Suite 1200  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 824-3000